

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

Cla

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,829	12/21/2004	Mohammed Khalil	NL 020533	9879
	590 01/18/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001	•	HODGES, MATTHEW P		
BRIARCLIFF M	1ANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2879	
			<u> </u>	·
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	The second secon	1 4 40 10		<del></del>			
Office Action Summany		Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)				
		10/518,829	KHALIL ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Matt P. Hodges	2879				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or or Reply	appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta- reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma- ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMI t 1.136(a). In no event, however, m iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) atute, cause the application to become	UNICATION.  ay a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	I December 2004					
2a)□		his action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
٥/١	closed in accordance with the practice under	·	• •	C MCM3 13			
		n En parto Quayro, 1000	0.5. 71, 100 0.0. 210.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the applicatio	n. ·					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	Irawn from consideration		•			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.		•				
7)🖂	Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to.	•					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
•	The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 December 2004</u> i		b)☐ objected to by the Exar	niner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
,	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. ⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the particle.			l Stage			
	application from the International Bure	•		3			
. *S	see the attached detailed Office action for a l		not received.				
Attachmen							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Intend	iew Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper	No(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		e of Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uther:							

Application/Control Number: 10/518,829

Art Unit: 2879

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

The Amendment, filed on 12/21/2004, has been entered and acknowledged by the Examiner.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the Search Report is not considered to be an information disclosure statement (IDS) complying with 37 CFR 1.98. 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2) requires a legible copy of: (1) each foreign patent; (2) each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; (3) for each cited pending U.S. application, the application specification including claims, and any drawing of the application, or that portion of the application which caused it to be listed including any claims directed to that portion, unless the cited pending U.S. application is stored in the Image File Wrapper (IFW) system; and (4) all other information, or that portion which caused it to be listed. In addition, each IDS must include a list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office (see 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1) and (b)), and MPEP § 609.04(a), subsection I. states, "the list ... must be submitted on a separate paper." Therefore, the references cited in the Search Report have not been considered. Applicant is advised that the date of submission of any item of information or any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the IDS, including all "statement" requirements of 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakamura et al. (US 5,547,409).

Regarding claims 1 and 2, Nakamura discloses (see figure 8) an improvement for a panel for a CRT including a compressive stress layer formed on the outside of the panel in a specified pattern, however he further discloses the pattern formed on the inside of the pattern in figure 8. This pattern is optionally not altered in the present disclosure and will be used here as indicative of the actual device. Nakamura discloses (see figure 8) a compressive stress layer formed on the inner surface of the panel where the compressive stress layer of the diagonal portion ( $\sigma_{di}$ ) is greater than the compressive stress layer of the center portion ( $\sigma_{ci}$ ). The location of a corner portion as claimed is not clearly defined, but it is clear from the graph that at a portion significantly toward a corner potion along the diagonal, there exist a compressive stress on the panel that satisfies the ratio of claim 2. (See figure 8).

Regarding claim 3, Nakamura further discloses the total combined stress at a center portion (that is the sum of the tensile and compressive stress) being less than 2Mpa (See figure 8).

Application/Control Number: 10/518,829

Art Unit: 2879

Regarding claim 5, Nakamura discloses the use of panels of 29 inches in the diagonal. (Column 9 lines 5–10).

Regarding claim 6, Nakamura discloses a positive wedge amount. (Column 7 lines 30–40).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura et al. (US 5,547,409).

Regarding claim 4, Nakamura discloses the device as claimed, but does not appear to specify the radius of curvature of the outside surface of the panel being greater than 20,000mm. However Nakamura does discloses the desire to have a flat screen and applicants own disclosure identifies a flat screen as one with a greater than 20,000mm radius of curvature on the outside surface. Further, the use of a flattened screen is well understood in the art to advantageously improve picture quality and reduce device thickness. Thus, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skills in the art to incorporate the use of a flat screen into the device as disclosed by Nakamura in order to advantageously improve picture quality and reduce device thickness.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 7, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 7, and specifically comprising the limitation of a glass panel for a CRT where the panel has a higher compressive stress layer at the corners of the device than in the center and where the device has a wedge greater than 5mm.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Sugawara et al. (US 6,597,102) discloses the use of balancing the compressive stress thickness and tensile strength in a panel.

## **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matt P Hodges whose telephone number is (571) 272-2454. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM M-F.

Art Unit: 2879

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mph M

NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800